Τ	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE TASK FORCE VIRTUAL MEETING
7	February 22, 2022
8	Held via Webex
9	
10	
11	PRESENT:
12	Anna Barbara Hantz Marconi, Associate
13	Justice, New Hampshire Supreme Court
14	Susan Carbon, Circuit Court Judge
15	Diane Nicolosi, Superior Court Judge
16	John Yazinski, Circuit Court Judge
17	Mary Barton, Clerk, Circuit Court
18	Merrill Beauchamp, Director, Victim &
19	Witness Program
20	Kathy Beebe, Executive Director, HAVEN NH
21	Kristyn Bernier, Investigator, Belknap
22	County Attorney's Office
23	Steven Endres, Assistant County Attorney,
24	Merrimack County
25	Martha Ann Hornick, Grafton County



1 Attorney 2 Mary Krueger, Attorney, NHLA 3 Lynda Ruel, Director, Office of Victim/Witness Assistance, NH DOJ 4 5 Scott Hampton, Director, Ending the 6 Violence 7 David Hobbs, Hampton, NH Association of 8 Chiefs of Police 9 Lyn Schollett, Executive Director, New 10 Hampshire Coalition 11 Amanda Grady Sexton, Director of Public 12 Affairs, New Hampshire Coalition 13 Jon Strasburger, New Hampshire 14 Association of Criminal Defense Attorneys 15 David Vicinanzo, Attorney, DOVE Program 16 Patricia LaFrance, Attorney, The Black 17 Law Group Betsy Paine, Attorney, CASA NH 18 19 Pam Dodge, NHBA DOVE Program & 603 Legal 20 Sarah Freeman, Circuit Court 21 Administrator 22 Jean Kilham, Manager, NHJB Domestic 23 Violence Program 24 Erin Jasina, Director, NHLA DV Program



Anne Zinkin, Supervisory Law Clerk, NHSC

1	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Welcome
2	back, Task Force. I hope you guys all
3	got some rest while you were not having
4	these Zoom meetings, Webex meetings.
5	The working group, together with
6	various volunteers on various charges
7	some more than one, thank you very
8	much have come up with the document
9	that we circulated Friday. And since
10	that time, I've gotten two submissions
11	for edits and comments.
12	We set a deadline of tomorrow, so
13	there may be more coming. But at this
14	point in time, we've got two so far I
15	mean, two comprehensive reviews, if you
16	will. One, the Coalition circulated
17	theirs earlier today. The other one
18	hasn't been circulated to the group yet.
19	It is from folks in the branch. So we've
20	got some tweaks and edits to language and
21	wording.
22	What we've been doing with feedback
23	from the working groups and sounding
24	boards that have been participating in
25	the drafting is working through the

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	suggested changes, trying to figure out
2	where the suggestions fit in the
3	comprehensive review, if you will, and
4	the comments that people have been
5	making.
6	For example, we have learned a lot
7	through this process, where information
8	and perspective from one participant may
9	sort of conflict a bit with information
10	and assertions from another participant.
11	We are a committee of the whole. We are
12	not the branch task force. We are not
13	the task force of any particular group.
14	That's why we have such a broad
15	combination of folks sitting here, trying
16	to capture all the various perspectives
17	of people who live and work in this
18	space.
19	So what we've done previously is
20	work through comments and suggestions to
21	try to come to common ground. I would
22	like to be able to do that with the
23	submissions that we've received from
24	those individual interested parties, if
25	you will, and then circulate another

	Domestic Violence Task Force - U2/22/22
1	"final draft" for everybody's review. So
2	that's sort of the way we've been
3	rolling, thus far.
4	This meeting was set up just so we
5	can answer questions, find out if anyone
6	has concerns about the process, and
7	basically, just try and tie up loose
8	ends. So I am all ears.
9	MR. VICINANZO: Justice Marconi,
10	Dave (ph.) Vicinanzo. I feel that the
11	draft is I really want to commend all
12	the hard work that has gone into this
13	draft. And to everybody on the committee
14	and to your leadership, I think that's
15	been fantastic.
16	I did want to point out, in
17	particular, though, how much I
18	appreciated Lyn Schollett's comments
19	earlier today and her ideas and thoughts
20	for edits. I read through them, and I
21	kept thinking, I wish I'd thought of
22	that. That's a great idea.
23	And not only did she provide a lot
24	of substance, but I do feel like the
25	atmospheric that Lyn is suggesting is

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	helpful to the report. And I can't help
2	but note and while we're all doing our
3	best here and very candid about things,
4	we all know what the genesis of this
5	committee was and the very unfortunate
6	events.
7	And I want I really feel like we
8	all have an interest in avoiding any sort
9	of atmospheric of defensiveness or that
10	there's any sort of inhibition on our
11	ability to kind of self-examine what
12	happened. And I felt that Lyn did a
13	really good job of kind of fleshing that
14	out. And I felt sort of liberated when I
15	read through it. I can't be a whole lot
16	more specific to that, but I really felt
17	that it was a significant contribution to
18	the work product.
19	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Good. I
20	know that also, counter from that, there
21	is we all know why we're here. There
22	is a lot of information that is floating
23	around in the public sphere. Some of it
24	is accurate; some of it is not. And I
25	think that has put a bit of a caution to,

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	again, everyone's we all want to be
2	transparent, and we all want to be open
3	in this proceeding.
4	Obviously, it is an open proceeding,
5	and the public and everybody else is
6	watching us. But I think to my way of
7	thinking and to what the context of our
8	report can't draw conclusions that
9	aren't or can't make assumptions that
LO	aren't fully vetted or perhaps may be
L1	less than accurate. So I think that's
L2	the realm in which we operate. So I
L3	appreciate that.
L 4	MR. VICINANZO: No, I agree with
L 5	you. But I think the suggestion of
L 6	looking to what other states are doing
L7	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Yeah.
L 8	MR. VICINANZO: I always think
L 9	that's a great there's fifty great
20	states out there, and every one of them
21	is a learning lab. And
22	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
23	MR. VICINANZO: we should be
24	looking there. I think the idea of
25	collecting data, that's a it's bold,



	Domestic violence lask force - 02/22/22
1	but you know what? If we're interested
2	in solving issue solving problems and
3	making things better, that's one of the
4	first things you do. So I thought that
5	was a very good suggestion.
6	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right. And
7	those are things I mean, obviously,
8	from the get-go and I think I told
9	everyone when we started, feed us stuff;
10	put it in the Dropbox. I'm sure there
11	are other best practices. There are
12	other things that states do.
13	Again, one caution: some states
14	have elected judge positions. They work
15	in a different realm. So those decisions
16	need to be vetted by a committee beyond
17	this one. You know what I mean? What's
18	good in some state may or may not work
19	here because of the differences in the
20	systems in which they work.
21	The good can be taken away, which is
22	why and then the things that don't
23	work left behind, which is why our
24	challenge which I appreciate everyone.
25	When you signed up, I said it would be a



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	short-term commitment. It is because we
2	aren't the group that's moving it forward
3	to evaluate what of those other things.
4	So to the extent we can point the
5	diversity committee, to the extent we can
6	appoint the committee that's statutorily
7	dictated to review judicial performance,
8	to other tools, I think that's really,
9	really helpful.
10	What we can't do is decide which
11	tool is best because we just don't we
12	haven't had that well, we haven't had
13	that charge, and we certainly don't have
14	the information to make that decision.
15	So absolutely, I think the new ideas, so
16	to speak, are great, and they need to be
17	couched within those limits. So yes.
18	INV. BERNIER: Justice Marconi, if I
19	could kind of dovetail on that, I read
20	what Lyn had done. And I had looked at a
21	lot of the things. And when I signed up,
22	when I said yes to this, one of the
23	things that I wanted to avoid, which I
24	believe we've a lot of people have
25	done a lot of great work, and it's been

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	open conversations and people being very
2	involved, and it's been a wonderful
3	experience. I'm glad I did it. The
4	group has been transparent, and I think
5	everybody wants the same thing.
6	But one of the things that I was
7	really that I promised myself is that
8	I didn't want this to end up being
9	something that was a I always call it,
10	like, the feel-good legislation, when put
11	something out there that kind of just
12	placates. And I'm not suggesting that
13	this does at all.
14	One of the things, though, that I
15	keep going back to is the piece in the
16	report, if I can pull it up. It was on
17	page 20 that I keep going back to, which
18	essentially says a judge with that
19	understanding could have engaged in a
20	different legal analysis; could
21	permissibly have found that R.L. posed a
22	credible present threat, even though
23	there was no recent act of physical
24	violence.
25	So essentially, the report that came



1	out of the incident involving what
2	brought us here, essentially, at the end
3	of the day, said that two judges with the
4	same information could essentially come
5	up with completely different decisions at
6	the end of the day.

And that sticks with me the most out of this, in that when we have that type of a situation and you have judges that can follow the letter of the law and can do things properly, with regard to how they deal with the participants and the paperwork and all those other things, but looking at the facts in two different lights that could lead them to different legal analysis.

That was really what stuck with me in terms of wanting that transparency, which is what the public wants, that accountability in making sure that we can't have that type of decision, that there's got to be some type of very specific oversight with regard to DV orders and DV petitions so that we don't end up in this situation, because that --

	Domestic Violence Task Force - U2/22/22
1	in my line of work, that's a real
2	that's a real problem.
3	If I go to a scene and I see X, Y,
4	and Z, and I make a decision it's this,
5	and another officer sees those same
6	things and does a completely separate
7	thing, that's a problem, which is why we
8	have standards and oversight and evals
9	and those things.
10	So I think that's the biggest thing
11	for me is that I want to walk away from
12	this knowing that what we do, at the end
13	of the day, gets us may not solve that
14	problem but at least tackle that issue
15	that two different judges cannot look at
16	the same fact pattern and see something
17	different.
18	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI:
19	Unfortunately and I mean, certainly,
20	there are ways to and this task force
21	was looking at ways to improve the system
22	so that people are better served, if you
23	will. But I know I mean, the U.S.
24	Supreme Court takes up issues where the



circuits are divided.

1	You have this in all walks of life.
2	Unless you have mandatory sentencing
3	requirements and even then, there is
4	judicial discretion in evaluating the
5	law, credibility. They don't always
6	it's like that old trope about people
7	seeing different eyewitnesses seeing
8	different events on the ground.
9	So I hear that there should be some
10	consistency, but that consistency, again,
11	cookie-cutter application doesn't always
12	result in just results either. So
13	certainly, helping people understand is
14	one thing. But requiring I think it
15	might be difficult to arrive at a place
16	where you can predict the outcome before
17	you head into court. I mean, that would
18	be that might be nice in a lot of
19	situations. But I hear what you're
20	saying.
21	MS. SCHOLLETT: Everybody, it's Lyn
22	from the Coalition. Thank you to those
23	of you who have worked so hard on the
24	drafting I want to echo everybody
25	else's comments on that and also those

# Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22 1 who read our feedback this afternoon. 2 And Justice Hantz Marconi, I'm glad 3 you mentioned doing a check-in about --4 JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: I'm having a 5 little bit of -- you have the Kathy Beebe 6 disease now. 7 MS. SCHOLLETT: Oh. Someone else 8 go. Let me check my settings. 9 JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Okay. Try 10 it again. Maybe it's me. 11 MR. VICINANZO: Can't hear you, Lyn. 12 JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Does anyone 13 else --14 MS. ZINKIN: Lyn, you're on mute. 15 You muted yourself. MS. SCHOLLETT: Okay. Going to try 16 17 again. Is that better? 18 JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: That's 19 better, yes. 20 MS. SCHOLLETT: Okay. Thanks. 21 MR. VICINANZO: There we go. 22 MS. SCHOLLETT: Sorry. I got into

my settings. So I wanted to first thank

everybody who's worked so hard on the

drafting, because obviously, this has

23

24

Case 1:25-0	cv-00093-SM Document 39-39 Filed 06/20/25 Pag
	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	been a heavy lift. And thanks to the
2	folks who read our feedback today.
3	I wanted to say to Justice Hantz
4	Marconi, I'm really glad you mentioned
5	process, because that was one of the
6	issues that we wanted to raise as well.
7	I think we all had hopes, coming into
8	this task force, for the direction we
9	could go and how we could bring our

10 strengths and expertise to the table. And I think one of the things that we're 11 12 hoping that comes out of it is a report 13 that does reflect the varying voices and 14 varying experiences of task force 15 members.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we've been providing feedback this afternoon. Thanks. A lot of back and forth with Anne. And I think what I just keep staying focused on is the charge to this task force, which was to do a systemic review of cases, with, as Kristyn just pointed out, a goal toward improving outcomes.

And I really think we can do that. And so we're just strongly advocating for



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	a lot of the recommendations to be a big
2	voice, to be as directive as possible,
3	recognizing that we're multidisciplinary,
4	recognize that we don't have sort of an
5	enforcement mechanism of any kind, but we
6	can come together as leaders in this
7	state and say, these are things we can do
8	to improve the accessibility of this
9	system and to improve safety for victims.
10	And I really think the ones that we
11	can focus on most is doing a deep dive
12	into information we already have access
13	to and analyzing that information around
14	outcomes.
15	So I hear what you're saying, in
16	terms of we can't promise consistent
17	outcomes in court cases. And at the same
18	time, I think, if we're really going to
19	create a system that is usable by victims
20	who maybe don't have an advocate and
21	don't have a lawyer, that looking for as
22	much consistency in that process is very,
23	very helpful. So thank you.
24	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Well, and I
25	think I agree. I think, as Judge



	Domestic Violence Task Force - U2/22/22
1	Nicolosi indicated, a really terrific
2	petition goes a long way to setting the
3	stage for a fair outcome. And so to the
4	extent that that paperwork side of
5	things, the guided interview business
6	I do think we're all on the same page.
7	At least from what we've collected
8	from the discussions, I think we're all
9	focused on the same thing, providing
10	judges with the information they need,
11	providing victims with the safety and
12	security that they need, and providing,
13	of course, a fair playing field for the
14	defendants. I mean, that's sort of the
15	world in which we operate in.
16	Is there any other thought about
17	putting this together, assuming we can
18	get there, and getting it issued? Like I
19	said, we'll continue these discussions.
20	I am, frankly, pretty hopeful, having
21	seen the recommendations that have come
22	back in thus far. Assuming nothing
23	major, by tomorrow, I think we're going
24	to have the report that we're looking



25

for.

1	MS. LAFRANCE: Can I just ask we
2	came up with this great report, a lot of
3	good recommendations. I mean, what is
4	the commitment from the people who can
5	make the changes? I mean, do we have
6	any what I got out of the public
7	hearing was more training, more money.
8	Okay. Well, great, but especially
9	the legislature being what it is now,
10	what are the chances of getting more
11	money out of this legislature? I mean,
12	everybody when this initially
13	happened, everybody was like, oh my God,
14	we've got to do this; we've got to do
15	that. Well, when push comes to shove,
16	are we going to have what we need to
17	implement this?
18	I don't see a further commitment
19	here, even from I just reviewed the
20	order establishing this task force.
21	Nothing in that order said, and we will
22	follow the recommendations, or we will
23	take steps to implement. All it said
24	was, we're going to take a really good
25	look at this. And task force, I think we

Do	omestic	Violence	Task	Force	-	02/22/22
dio	d our jo	ob. But				

2	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Yes.
3	MS. LAFRANCE: what's the further
4	commitment here? Maybe we should make a
5	stronger statement and call on
6	legislators or whoever. This needs to be
7	done. If you're really serious about
8	this, this needs to be done, put some
9	oomph behind our findings.

think two things come to mind. And believe me, this is something I've struggled with from the get-go. We are a -- we're an offshoot. We aren't the branch. We're kind of an ad hoc committee to actually, frankly, issuespot and provide some suggestions, which will give people a pathway to "do their jobs."

So yes, I mean, this report will be presented to the branch, and the branch will be able to have a response. We also make recommendations to the governor. I don't know about you, but I'm not in a position to exactly tell him, although

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	the public focus on this report will
2	certainly allow for follow-up to the
3	governor's office and also to the
4	legislature.
5	And the one thing that has struck me
6	from the beginning is there are
7	there's an awful lot of firepower in and
8	on this task force, with organizations
9	that exist outside of the task force.
10	And so to have this report have a
11	recommendation in it that then allows for
12	follow-up by current standing
13	organizations, I think, is a tool.
14	Task force is going to go away. But
15	the members and the stakeholders and the
16	agencies that do have that ability are
17	going to persist. So hopefully, this
18	collaboration will cause those folks who
19	are aligned in their viewpoints or
20	policy-setting roles to get together and
21	push it, which is not something that
22	everybody on the task force is able to do
23	because of our various constraints. So



that's what made it a little neither fish

24

25

nor fowl.

1	But certainly, these recommendations
2	provide a path forward. For example,
3	when the branch goes for funding, when
4	the Coalition goes for more grant
5	funding, when NHLA is setting up its
6	policies and processes, we now have
7	something to stand on. That's the way I
8	see it.
9	MS. SCHOLLETT: So I wonder
10	that's an interesting point that you
11	raise, Justice Hantz Marconi. And I
12	don't usually speak on the fly, but I'm
13	just sort of thinking through this right
14	now.
15	Is there a way for this group to
16	come back together in six months or
17	twelve months and do a check-in on the
18	status of the recommendations? Again, I
19	understand it's not with an enforcement
20	mechanism, but I think it would be really
21	interesting and helpful to have a follow-
22	up around some of the big themes like
23	transparency and accountability and
24	things like that. Is that something the
25	task force would ever consider?

1	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: I don't know
2	if our charge lets us do that, but I
3	certainly we could certainly get back
4	together and chat. But I think the real
5	call to action is going to be from here
6	forward, and I don't know what we would
7	accomplish by getting back together and
8	finding out that the legislature wouldn't
9	do anything, except that we can all
10	complain to each other. But it may be
11	because they haven't received a good
12	proposal, or who knows?
13	I can certainly look into it. But I
14	know from our initial gathering together
15	and the charge we have, our job's over at
16	this point. But I don't see again,
17	speaking on the fly, I don't have a
18	problem convening an ad hoc committee in
19	a year from now or six months or
20	whatever. But I do think our job was to
21	provide a roadmap for those who are, as I
22	call it, in the space.
23	What we were able to do and I do
24	think, again, this has been a big
25	learning process for me as well. And

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	again, as the outsider, I think a lot of
2	communication broke down.
3	And certainly, the Governor's
4	Commission on Domestic Violence was a
5	place for collaboration. And so I almost
6	see that as a replacement for this. We
7	were pulled together to figure out why
8	there were so many again, a lack of
9	communication is my biggest takeaway.
10	And I think that should be
11	institutionalized, and that's not this
12	group. But that's just me.
13	INV. BERNIER: Justice, is it I
14	know there were a number of and I had
15	a great interaction with Judge Yazinski
16	over the firearm issue. And I work with
17	a number of different people on different
18	things.
19	There are a lot of recommendations
20	and great ideas that have come up with
21	this that don't necessarily cost money,
22	and things like forms, things like that
23	interview, that the weapon issue, in
24	terms of merging what law enforcement is
25	doing and judges so that we're all on the

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	same page and we're following through
2	with that stuff.
3	Is there going to be an opportunity,
4	if people want to be involved with moving
5	some of those things forward, wherever
6	the mothership is that looks at this and
7	makes decisions, is there going to be an
8	opportunity for us to stay on board with
9	some of this stuff and be involved in
10	helping implement some of those things
11	that we're working, say, with the
12	Coalition and with regard to legislation?
13	I know I would absolutely commit to
14	that, on a number of fronts. I'm sure
15	there are others here that would do it as
16	well. But I wasn't sure what that was
17	going to look like, moving forward.
18	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right. I
19	think there are going to be different
20	entities. I've learned some of them
21	already. We have recommendations for
22	having county-based sort of collaborative
23	groups, whether those are it. We've got
24	that annual and I'll get the name
25	wrong, but the thing that Erin either

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	hosts or provides feedback from, the
2	statewide group.
3	So I think there are other and
4	there may well be a group spawned to look
5	at the firearms issue. But again, I
6	think it's going to be on a it's kind
7	of why the charges are the way they are,
8	on an issue-by-issue basis, not one
9	central committee doing unless
10	standing committee and the branch, I'm
11	sure and it's part of our
12	recommendation will be looking for
13	input from interested folks in the
14	stakeholder group, if you will.
15	So I do see that there is that
16	opportunity, going forward.
17	MS. JASINA: I would encourage
18	anyone who's interested in being a part
19	of the statewide Domestic Violence
20	Advisory Council to reach out to me.
21	It's
22	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: That's the
23	thing I was trying to figure out.
24	MS. JASINA: Many of you are already
25	members and have been members for quite



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	some time. But we meet quarterly, and
2	it's something that NHLA facilitates and
3	convenes. And I'd be happy to talk to
4	anyone who wants more information about
5	it or who would like to be a part of it.
6	We discuss legislation. We do a
7	court watch, anything that is positively
8	or negatively impacting victims and
9	survivors. So just feel free to send me
10	an email.
11	And I will also say that I know we
12	all put in so much work to this report,
13	and we could probably write five
14	different reports, all equally great but
15	maybe with different perspectives. But
16	we were just always having this March 1st
17	looming deadline over us. And I think
18	that we did the best that we could under
19	the time constraints.
20	But as many of you have already
21	said, I think it's really this may be
22	the end of the task force, but it's
23	really just the beginning of the work.
24	We've identified so many things that
25	needs improvement, or we could just start

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	an entirely new project or different
2	initiatives.
3	And I am personally committed to
4	seeing some of these things through that
5	are that NHLA can be involved in. I
6	look forward to working with many of you
7	into the future and pushing some of these
8	things forward.
9	But the report itself is not what's
10	going it will be available, and it
11	will be the public will have access to
12	it, but I don't think it's the thing
13	that's going to live on from this group.
14	I think it's the work that we do after
15	this and the collaboration that we all do
16	after this that's really going to make
17	the difference.
18	And that's not to say that you all
19	haven't put in amazing work. And Anne
20	has just done an incredible job putting
21	this report together. It's been really
22	impressive to see. But I think it's
23	going to be what we do after this that is
24	going to make the real difference. And I

just hope we can all think about that and

Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22 push that agenda forward.

JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: And I do see a question from Kristyn about the DV Fatality Review Committee. It does still exist. So I guess the question is -- and someone answered -- looks like they're looking to tweak that. But it does continue to do its thing and reports annually to the -- wherever they post their report. So it is still ongoing.

But that is one thing, I think, that is of value to the work that's been done is identifying these various pieces of work that some exist and some have been dormant. And so getting everything back in focus, I think, is really helpful.

MS. PAINE: And to the extent, I think, that we can include the executive branch and the legislature, those were critical components in prior work that we did with the Governor's Commission. And it took sort of all three branches to make some of the changes happen and for people to really dig deep.

And there are a number of issues



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	here that are a question of political
2	will and money. And even the data piece
3	is a function of political will and
4	money.
5	As was noted long ago, the Odyssey
6	system is a case-management system, not
7	an information-management system. And
8	its ability to do data reporting is
9	incredibly limited by the programmers
10	themselves. And everything you want to
11	do in addition to pull things out costs a
12	great deal of money now.
13	We bought what we bought when we
14	bought it, and we tried to tell them at
15	that time what we wanted and what we
16	thought we were going to want for a
17	decade ahead. And we knew when we made
18	those choices that we were going to live
19	with them for a very long time.
20	And we spent the money the best way
21	we knew how when we did it, but I would
22	certainly say that that accountability
23	piece really requires good information.
24	And adding to our ability to collect that

25

information is significant and expensive.

1	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: And it's
2	good to know that this is not unique to
3	domestic violence. The diversity
4	initiative is looking for data. The
5	Access to Justice Commission is looking
6	for data. So the limitations on Odyssey
7	are painfully apparent.
8	That does not stop independent
9	review. You can pull down cases and do
LO	your own digging through files. But
11	again, that takes time and money. So
L2	there are workarounds that independent
13	agencies can do with the data that's
L 4	available.
15	And there's the other side of the
L 6	coin, which is having ready data at our
L7	fingertips in the branch. And that is,
18	at some times, limited by hardware. I
L 9	wish we were the feds, but we aren't. We
20	don't have their budget.
21	MS. FREEMAN: I would also say and
22	add to what Betsy said, it's also a
23	function of the cost to have staff time
24	to devote to adding that information into
>5	Odvesov So if wolro looking at the

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	cost, it's the cost of upgrade to
2	software and the cost of increased staff
3	time. And so there is a cost to these
4	things, even if it's not apparent at
5	first.
6	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right. And
7	so when there have been prior
8	initiatives again, I see Kristyn's
9	comment to everybody about UNH being a
10	source. That is so. And in fact, there
11	was just an initiative, I believe, grant-
12	funded, to do some diversity surveying in
13	the Bar Association. So again, the
14	resources are there, but they aren't
15	free.
16	So not making excuses, but that is
17	a getting data is a well, is a
18	multifaceted issue. Again, you can
19	still I mean, the good thing about
20	domestic violence cases is it's a finite
21	set. And if somebody had the bandwidth
22	to pull down copies of all the orders,
23	there would be a data set. So there are
24	different ways to approach the issue. I



guess that's the point.

1	Any other thoughts, comments,
2	perspectives, input, dissatisfaction,
3	satisfaction?
4	MR. STRASBURGER: Justice Hantz
5	Marconi, I just wanted
6	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Yes.
7	MR. STRASBURGER: to dovetail
8	with respect to the fact that the data
9	collection and sort of our limitations on
LO	that involves greater than just DV cases,
L1	as you've already identified. And I
L2	think that's important to the
L3	transparency that we've been talking
L 4	about and trying to figure out solutions
L5	to address consistency.
L 6	But I have some concerns about some
L7	of the proposed edits to the sort of I
L8	guess I'll call it judicial
L 9	accountability or judicial review
20	section, because we are here to focus on
21	DV matters.
22	But if we start getting into an
23	ability for individual litigants to sort
24	of escalate, to administrative judges,
25	results in a particular case, I think



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	that's also going to affect the other
2	areas of the law that the court has to
3	adjudicate and really could fundamentally
4	change our process.
5	Right now, the judicial officers
6	make those decisions on a case-by-case
7	basis, and we have an appellate review
8	process. And we don't have elected
9	judges in New Hampshire.
10	And it's a little bit concerning to
11	me that if the committee seems to support
12	what I would say is a pretty significant
13	overhaul of the judicial review process,
14	then we might be getting to that point,
15	where individual litigants who might be
16	unhappy, either because they just don't
17	understand the process, maybe, or they
18	just may disagree with the court's
19	analysis in their particular case, if
20	they can start to get an audience with an
21	administrative judge and there is data
22	collected about how many particular
23	judges are granting domestic violence
24	petitions or denying domestic violence
25	petitions, I just have a concern that



	Domestic Violence Task Force - U2/22/22
1	that would be a have a potential, at
2	least, to be a pretty significant
3	overhaul to our fundamental
4	Constitutional process here.
5	So I just wanted to point that out.
6	I completely favor the report and the
7	recommendations with respect to charge 7,
8	as drafted. And I definitely hear Lyn's
9	concerns about transparency and getting
10	the data and having a mechanism to follow
11	up on that.
12	But I would just be very concerned
13	about giving a process by which aggrieved
14	litigants could take a case-by-case basis
15	to an administrative judge or some other
16	review process. I just think that that
17	has a potential to be somewhat dangerous
18	for our system.
19	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: And I can
20	say that I've again, I've struggled
21	with this. I think I've said it to
22	anyone who would listen. Data is data,
23	as we all know. Just look at the
24	political situation today.
25	But in term it can be as simple



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	as how many petitions granted or denied.
2	It can also be Andy Smith at UNH with a
3	detailed regression analysis of what the
4	claims were, who the I mean, it
5	doesn't tell you anything if you're just
6	adding up numbers.
7	So that's why in our discussions,
8	while there's agreement on improving
9	transparency and the review provisions
10	haven't been reconstituted in many years,
11	maybe there is a better way to do the
12	review process within the confines and
13	restrictions that we have.
14	And that's what the recommendations
15	are sort of geared toward, having the
16	committee that does the review take a
17	look and see if there's a better
18	mousetrap at this point in time, as
19	opposed to when the survey system was
20	developed, with input from the talking
21	heads who knew that business thirty years
22	ago.
23	So I agree that that is you don't
24	want to create problems where in
25	trying to solve problems, I guess, is

1	that point, which is why it really
2	deserves a more considered review than
3	we've been able to give it here.
4	MS. ZINKIN: I think Jon is raising
5	a really good point, at least the way I'm
6	understanding it, which is our current
7	system is there's trial courts, and if
8	you're not happy with what happened in
9	the trial court, you appeal to the
10	Supreme Court. We don't have an
11	intermediate appellate court. There
12	isn't another mechanism to complain about
13	what happened in your case, other than by
14	appeal.
15	And what I'm hearing you say is if
16	the report says there should be some
17	other mechanism, then that's changing our
18	system in a really big way. At least,
19	that's what I'm hearing, I think.
20	MR. STRASBURGER: Well, I'm going to
21	thank Anne because she articulated that
22	much more artfully than I did. But that
23	is exactly the message I was trying to
24	convey.
25	And I'm not suggesting that that's



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	what's been proposed. But some of the
2	some of the revisions look like that
3	could be an implication of what the task
4	force is suggesting, that there is some
5	large overhaul necessary.
6	And I'm just concerned about sending
7	that message because we do have a system
8	with an appellate process, and we don't
9	have an intermediary appellate court,
10	just as you said, Anne. And from my
11	perspective, an aggrieved party, that's
12	their sole remedy. I mean, obviously, if
13	they feel there's been a judicial conduct
14	violation, there's already a there's
15	already an avenue for that to be
16	resolved.
17	So that was my concern. And thank
18	you, Anne, for saying that much more
19	artfully than I did.
20	MS. SCHOLLETT: Well, I'd love to
21	just oh. I've spoken. Go ahead. Was
22	that Steve or someone else?
23	MR. ENDRES: Sorry, Lyn.
24	A slightly different perspective to
25	that, though, is that this is an



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	adversarial system. And for lack of a
2	better term, there's winners and losers.
3	And you tend to have people who, perhaps,
4	are losers who are upset about the
5	process and are going to complain about
6	it.
7	Whether they have an avenue to
8	complain or not isn't going to stop them
9	from complaining. So if they're upset,
10	they're still going to try and contact
11	the administrative judge. They're going
12	to contact the press. They're going to
13	talk to whomever will listen to them and
14	complain about the process.
15	While I agree that sort of keeping
16	data isn't the be-all and end-all, I
17	don't necessarily think that that data
18	has to be publicly available. But I
19	think it's worth knowing what the data is
20	so that you can look at it and see if we
21	have a problem.
22	And I think, in terms of to take
23	it out of, like, the domestic violence
24	world and put it in, for example, like, a

driving while intoxicated world, if you

Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22 1 have a judge who finds ninety-nine 2 percent of people quilty of DWI, and you 3 have another judge who finds, say, twenty 4 percent of people guilty of DWI, you 5 don't necessarily know that you have a 6 problem, but you know that maybe you 7 should look into that. And perhaps the judge that only 8 9 finds a very small percentage of people 10 quilty of DWI is seeing cases from police 11 departments that over-charge or don't do 12 a good job in their investigations. And 13 maybe the judge who finds many people 14 guilty of DWI has very squared-away 15 police departments who only bring very 16 strong cases. 17 But it's at least worth knowing that 18 or at least having a basis to look into that, which to me, is the second step. 19 20 So the data collection, to me, is really

But it's at least worth knowing that or at least having a basis to look into that, which to me, is the second step.

So the data collection, to me, is really trying to figure out is there a problem with judicial accountability, not necessarily as a solution to it, but at least a jumping-off point to say, should we look closer at this.

21

22

23

24



## Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22

1	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right. And
2	that gets into my what are the factors.
3	I think of prosecutors who don't bring a
4	case if there's a problem with it.
5	You're going to plead it out or do so
6	your win-loss record may be sort of
7	artificially impacted. Judges get
8	everything, so the good, the bad, the
9	ugly.
LO	So collecting data, like you've
L1	said, is a start. But drawing
L2	conclusions therefrom and maybe that
L3	process of drawing conclusions therefrom
L 4	needs to be internal, just for the point
L5	you raised that it's not always an
L 6	easy it's not always an easy
L 7	conclusion to draw.
L8	So that goes to, again, the
L 9	recommendation to improve data collection
20	but without specifying how that data's
21	going to be handled, because I think it
22	depends on, A, what data we can get, and
23	B, sort of how raw it is, so that you
24	don't mislead. So I think those are good
25	points.



## Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22

1	MS. SCHOLLETT: And I would just
2	respond briefly to Jon that we are very
3	clear we are not trying to insert some
4	kind of intermediate appellate process
5	but are just strongly recommending that
6	we look at objective performance criteria
7	and look at some of the models that have
8	been set up in other states to do that.
9	And we definitely included those in the
LO	comments as well.
11	So I just I do. I appreciate
12	your point, and that isn't what this is.
13	But for example, if we looked at our
L 4	statewide protocol that requires judges
L5	to have a conversation with a victim
16	before denying a final order, if that's
L7	not happening and that was a protocol
L8	that was created in a multidisciplinary
L 9	sense like this that is something that
20	we should be able to address as a group,
21	whether that's (indiscernible)
22	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right. And
23	updating protocols is important because,
24	as we've learned from these discussions,
>5	the judges don't feel like maybe that is

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	achievable because of the prohibition
2	against assisting one side versus the
3	other. So we've learned a lot that
4	something that may work or is suggested
5	at point 1 may, in reality, not bear out
6	And so that's why I think there
7	needs to be wiggle room so that pros and
8	the experts can actually spend some time
9	thinking about consequences and
LO	unintended consequences. So I think
11	that's good.
12	DR. HAMPTON: I just wanted to toss
13	in a comment about sort of why I think I
L 4	was on this committee at all, and that
L5	has to do with trying to view things
L 6	through the perspective of the abuser.
L7	And it's sort of axiomatic in my
L8	work, where we look at all of our
L 9	interventions and all the ways that we
20	try and do the work with one question:
21	is, what effect does this have on an
22	abuser's inclination and opportunity to
23	abuse.
24	And so a few reactions I had was
25	just the fact of this committee, its



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	willingness to look at a very difficult
2	situation and then reflect upon itself, I
3	think, is very hopeful.
4	Secondly, I think a lot of the
5	recommendations that have come up are
6	very well thought out and takes us a long
7	way in that direction.
8	And thirdly, the comments that Lyn
9	had, as I was reading those and I was
10	thinking, okay, for each one of these
11	adjustments, whether it's about
12	transparency or it's about evaluations of
13	judges or about looking at models from
14	other states, each and every one of the
15	edits or comments, I thought, went
16	took a significant step in reducing an
17	abuser's inclination and opportunity to
18	abuse. So I really like that kind of
19	reflection.
20	The other thing I'm wondering about,
21	too, is this task force does sort of
22	officially go away at the end of this,
23	and but we will be delivering
24	recommendations. And I wonder if maybe
25	one of the recommendations is that this



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	committee reconvenes at a particular
2	point. Why don't we just sort of weave
3	that into it?
4	And one of the reasons I think that
5	might be particularly helpful goes back
6	to the Fatality Review Committee. And
7	I'm bouncing all this credit over to
8	Judge Carbon's way. In the formation of
9	that committee, back in the late '90s,
LO	early around 2000, one of the things
L1	that we had a discussion about was how do
12	we how do we develop a mechanism where
13	people are comfortable sharing
L 4	information.
L5	We don't want it to be a finger-
16	pointing thing. We don't want people to
L7	shut down. We don't want this is not
L8	about making people liable for past
L 9	errors in judgment. And in one of the
20	and I think it I think we were really
21	successful in creating an environment
22	where we could do some productive work.
23	And one of the outgrowths of that
2 4	was not just coming up with
25	recommendations, but one of the charge



	Domestic violence task force - 02/22/22
1	one of the things we put into each of our
2	reports was an expectation that there
3	would be a reporting back on the progress
4	that was made or not made with regard to
5	recommendations.
6	So we would have recommendations.
7	We would list the agency or committee
8	member that was responsible for making
9	that happen. And then we would reconvene
10	and ask people, okay, so what has
11	happened with regard to those
12	recommendations over the last three
13	months, six months, or a year period.
14	So if we could put in a
15	recommendation that this becomes an
16	iterative process, rather than a singular
17	report that people do or don't do with as
18	they please, I think that would push us a
19	lot further forward.
20	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: It may be
21	challenging to identify the responsive
22	parties. I mean, obviously, some is the
23	branch, and then some is not the branch,
24	so but certainly, there's nothing
25	wrong with recommending that we get back



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	together and take stock of what's
2	happened in the interim.
3	MS. PAINE: Your Honor, just in
4	terms of process, so the working group
5	will take the results of today's meeting
6	and all the various comments and then
7	finalize the report; am I correct?
8	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: That's what
9	we're going to try to do, yes. And that
10	would be, again, comments due by
11	tomorrow we may have them all, at this
12	point and recirculate tomorrow or
13	Thursday and get sort of some final say-
14	so by the group
15	MS. ZINKIN: I was going to say
16	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: and
17	then
18	MS. ZINKIN: likely recirculate
19	Thursday.
20	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Yeah,
21	Thursday. Yes, Anne. Thursday. Yes.
22	And then once we have general
23	agreement, which I would get by email,
24	unless y'all want to see each other's
25	smiling faces again, we will let Susan



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	Warner just make the thing look
2	reasonable, in terms of, like I
3	haven't paid attention to much of the
4	cover and the graphics and that kind of
5	thing because I've been focused on the
6	guts.
7	So and then we'd be ready to present
8	it to the branch, to the chief, on the
9	1st. And then the question is if we want
10	to have a public presentation, if you
11	will, maybe on the 2nd. We can do that,
12	or we don't have to. But it occurred to
13	me that it might make sense to have a
14	discussion with the members of the task
15	force as to what we did and why we did it
16	and what we're hoping comes from it.
17	I see rampant enthusiasm for that
18	idea. I can also do that all by myself,
19	if no one wants to show up.
20	MS. PAINE: Your Honor, do
21	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Yes.
22	MS. PAINE: Do we have an agreement
23	that or not, about inquiries from the
24	press to anyone in particular, or flow

through Susan, or anyone's in this group

24

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	is, obviously, able to comment or
2	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Just
3	(indiscernible) that
4	MS. PAINE: just out of courtesy
5	and respect, I don't
6	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: We don't
7	have any sort of agreement or process.
8	And just as I sort of presumed and
9	encouraged people to share their work,
10	each one of you represents a whole crowd
11	of people behind you in this space. So
12	as I sit here today, unless I hear
13	differently, I don't mind or have any
14	restriction against anyone in the task
15	force speaking to their experience.
16	But if the task force would feel
17	more comfortable routing requests
18	through I don't know Susan Warner
19	or an individual person I won't say
20	Anne. She'll kill me. But routing it
21	through somebody, we can do that too, if
22	we want to filter. So don't know what
23	you think about that.
24	MS. LAFRANCE: I think as individual



25

members of the task force, if we're

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	approached, we should be able to talk
2	about our work, not any resolutions or
3	anything like that, but certainly, our
4	experience on it and just the general
5	goals. We can cite the directive from
6	the original directive and what the goals
7	were.
8	I have a relationship with WMUR, so
9	I don't they had called me, actually,
10	originally, to comment on this before I
11	was on the task force. So I think
12	it's but if you prefer to have it go
13	through somebody, I mean, I had
14	anticipated most people would be putting
15	it on their social media pages, too, to
16	highlight the work they did, just to get
17	the general public aware of it, so
18	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Exactly. So
19	I mean, your names are out there. And I
20	think if someone wants to call you and
21	ask you about it, that's perfectly fine.
22	INV. BERNIER: I'm not a big fan of
23	big-group public things, but I think for
24	this, I after the work that
25	everybody's done, I think it would be



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	something to think about to do something
2	where the group could present it
3	together.
4	I think it says something when you
5	have all these people from all these
6	different disciplines that are able to
7	make these meetings and do the work that
8	needed to be done. A ton of work went
9	into it. A ton of thought went into it.
10	And I think it shows the public that
11	there is a willingness for people to
12	unify and work together and put their
13	opinions on the table and come up with
14	something that everybody can stick their
15	name to in making things better.
16	And I think the group should be
17	proud of that, and it sets an example
18	that this type of thing can be
19	accomplished, not just necessarily having
20	it go out on the news as just a report
21	but this particular group of people.
22	It's an interesting concept and something
23	that the public really doesn't know much
24	about
25	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI. Right



Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22

1	Okay.
2	INV. BERNIER: when it comes to
3	these types of little task forces.
4	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: And my
5	thought is, too, it will enable us to
6	help the different, again, involved
7	agencies, if you will. It helps to I
8	don't know set that next step. I
9	mean, we know the limitations of this
10	group. Now others have to act. And so I
11	think that's a discussion that is worth
12	having.
13	The folks who showed up at our
14	public hearing, they are invested. There
15	are people out there who are invested in
16	what we come up with. So I think it
17	makes sense to respond.
18	CHIEF HOBBS: Justice Marconi, what
19	if when the report was finally was
20	finalized, if a press release came from
21	the courts, and then that if that was
22	shared, referencing the final report,
23	that would be something that we could
24	all that press release is something

that we could all share and generate that

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	public start getting the word out?
2	And I think that's how you're going
3	to see the changes is by getting the word
4	out. So if there was a general
5	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: I think
6	that's a great idea.
7	CHIEF HOBBS: press release.
8	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: And maybe a
9	combination of the two, a press release
10	that everybody can share, and if we do
11	have some people who want to get
12	together, we can be there to answer
13	questions.
14	And Kristyn says if we serve cake,
15	everyone will show up. Very good.
16	So you want me to work on something,
17	and if people can come, great, and if
18	they can't, fine. But it'll just be an
19	opportunity for if any media want to show
20	up, if anyone cares, they can come and
21	see what we did. Okay. I'll work on it.
22	Maybe cake. I don't know.
23	All right. Anything else as we
24	approach 5 o'clock? Not that we have a
25	time limit, but feel free, if you get off



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 02/22/22
1	this call and think of something else
2	that you want to share and didn't, to
3	share.
4	Any other thoughts about presenting
5	this to the public, I'd love to hear.
6	Any other thoughts about what needs to go
7	into the report, we want to hear.
8	And again, it's not believe me,
9	it's not me. I sound like it's me. It's
10	not. We've had this working group and
11	all you folks who are sounding boards and
12	all these minutes that have been taken
13	where we're really trying to coalesce the
14	various perspectives. And so all we're
15	doing is measuring it against what other
16	people have said. So that's been the
17	process.
18	And so anything else, send it in to
19	the boiling-pot of report writing, and
20	we'll figure it out.
21	Okay. Thank you very much. See you
22	next time.
23	(End of audio)
24	
25	



1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Cheryl Odom, certify that the
4	foregoing transcript is a true and
5	accurate record of the proceedings.
6	
7	
9	Clarg Odlom
LO	Cheryl Odom (CDLT-186)
11	TTA-Certified Digital Legal Transcriber
12	
L3	eScribers
L 4	352 Seventh Avenue, Suite #604
15	New York, NY 10001
16	
L 7	Date: March 22, 2022
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



		•		rage 1
A	advocating	41:4	<b>B</b> 40:23	53:11
ability 6:11	15:25	application	back 3:2 10:15	boiling-pot
20:16 29:8,24	Affairs 2:12	13:11	10:17 15:17	53:19
32:23	affect 33:1	appoint 9:6	17:22 21:16	<b>bold</b> 7:25
able 4:22 19:22	afternoon 14:1	appreciate 7:13	22:3,7 28:15	<b>bought</b> 29:13,13
20:22 22:23	15:17	8:24 41:11	44:5,9 45:3,25	29:14
36:3 41:20	agencies 20:16	appreciated	<b>bad</b> 40:8	bouncing 44:7
48:1 49:1 50:6	30:13 51:7	5:18	bandwidth	branch 3:19
absolutely 9:15	agency 45:7	approach 31:24	31:21	4:12 19:15,21
24:13	agenda 28:1	52:24	<b>Bar</b> 31:13	19:21 21:3
abuse 42:23	aggrieved 34:13	approached	Barbara 1:12	25:10 28:19
43:18	37:11	49:1	Barton 1:17	30:17 45:23,23
abuser 42:16	ago 29:5 35:22	areas 33:2	basically 5:7	47:8
abuser's 42:22	agree 7:14 16:25	arrive 13:15	basis 25:8 33:7	branches 28:22
43:17	35:23 38:15	artfully 36:22	34:14 39:18	briefly 41:2
access 16:12	agreement 35:8	37:19	<b>be-all</b> 38:16	bring 15:9 39:15
27:11 30:5	46:23 47:22	articulated	bear 42:5	40:3
accessibility	48:7	36:21	Beauchamp	<b>broad</b> 4:14
16:8	ahead 29:17	artificially 40:7	1:18	broke 23:2
accomplish 22:7	37:21	assertions 4:10	<b>Beebe</b> 1:20 14:5	brought 11:2
accomplished	aligned 20:19	Assistance 2:4	beginning 20:6	<b>budget</b> 30:20
50:19	allow 20:2	Assistant 1:23	26:23	business 17:5
accountability	allows 20:11	assisting 42:2	believe 9:24	35:21
11:20 21:23	Amanda 2:11	Associate 1:12	19:12 31:11	
29:22 32:19	amazing 27:19	Association 2:7	53:8	C
39:22	analysis 10:20	2:14 31:13	Belknap 1:21	<b>C</b> 54:1,1
accurate 6:24	11:16 33:19	assuming 17:17	Bernier 1:21	cake 52:14,22
7:11 54:5	35:3	17:22	9:18 23:13	<b>call</b> 10:9 19:5
achievable 42:1	analyzing 16:13	assumptions 7:9	49:22 51:2	22:5,22 32:18
act 10:23 51:10	<b>Andy</b> 35:2	atmospheric	best 6:3 8:11	49:20 53:1
action 22:5	Ann 1:25	5:25 6:9	9:11 26:18	called 49:9
ad 19:15 22:18	<b>Anna</b> 1:12	attention 47:3	29:20	candid 6:3
add 30:22	Anne 2:25 15:18	Attorney 1:23	<b>Betsy</b> 2:18 30:22	capture 4:16
adding 29:24	27:19 36:21	2:1,2,15,16,18	better 8:3 12:22	Carbon 1:14
30:24 35:6	37:10,18 46:21	Attorney's 1:22	14:17,19 35:11	Carbon's 44:8
<b>addition</b> 29:11	48:20	Attorneys 2:14	35:17 38:2	cares 52:20
address 32:15	annual 24:24	audience 33:20	50:15	CASA 2:18
41:20	annually 28:9	audio 53:23	beyond 8:16	case 32:25 33:19
adjudicate 33:3	answer 5:5	available 27:10	<b>big</b> 16:1 21:22	36:13 40:4
adjustments	52:12	30:14 38:18	22:24 36:18	case-by-case
43:11	answered 28:6	<b>avenue</b> 37:15	49:22	33:6 34:14
administrative	anticipated	38:7 54:14	<b>big-group</b> 49:23	case-manage
32:24 33:21	49:14	avoid 9:23	biggest 12:10	29:6
34:15 38:11	anyone's 47:25	avoiding 6:8	23:9	cases 15:21
Administrator	apparent 30:7	aware 49:17	<b>bit</b> 4:9 6:25 14:5	16:17 30:9
2:21	31:4	awful 20:7	33:10	31:20 32:10
adversarial 38:1	appeal 36:9,14	axiomatic 42:17	Black 2:16	39:10,16
Advisory 25:20	appellate 33:7		board 24:8	cause 20:18
advocate 16:20	36:11 37:8,9	B	boards 3:24	caution 6:25
	•	•	•	

				Page 2
8:13	collaboration	44:1,6,9 45:7	convenes 26:3	data 7:25 29:2,8
CDLT-186	20:18 23:5	common 4:21	convening 22:18	30:4,6,13,16
54:10	20:18 23:3			31:17,23 32:8
54:10 central 25:9	collaborative	communication	conversation 41:15	31:17,23 32:8 33:21 34:10,22
		23:2,9		,
certainly 9:13	24:22	complain 22:10	conversations 10:1	34:22 38:16,17
12:19 13:13	collect 29:24	36:12 38:5,8		38:19 39:20
20:2 21:1 22:3	collected 17:7	38:14	convey 36:24	40:10,19,22
22:3,13 23:3	33:22	complaining	cookie-cutter	data's 40:20
29:22 45:24	collecting 7:25	38:9	13:11	Date 54:17
49:3	40:10	completely 11:5	copies 31:22	Dave 5:10
certify 54:3	<b>collection</b> 32:9	12:6 34:6	correct 46:7	<b>David</b> 2:7,15
challenge 8:24	39:20 40:19	components	cost 23:21 30:23	day 11:3,6 12:13
challenging	combination	28:20	31:1,1,2,3	deadline 3:12
45:21	4:15 52:9	comprehensive	costs 29:11	26:17
chances 18:10	come 3:8 4:21	3:15 4:3	couched 9:17	deal 11:12 29:12
<b>change</b> 33:4	11:4 16:6	concept 50:22	Council 25:20	decade 29:17
<b>changes</b> 4:1 18:5	17:21 19:11	concern 33:25	counter 6:20	decide 9:10
28:23 52:3	21:16 23:20	37:17	County 1:22,23	decision 9:14
changing 36:17	43:5 50:13	concerned 34:12	1:24,25	11:21 12:4
charge 9:13	51:16 52:17,20	37:6	county-based	<b>decisions</b> 8:15
15:20 22:2,15	comes 15:12	concerning	24:22	11:5 24:7 33:6
34:7 44:25	18:15 47:16	33:10	course 17:13	deep 16:11
charges 3:6 25:7	51:2	concerns 5:6	court 1:13,14,15	28:24
chat 22:4	comfortable	32:16 34:9	1:16,17 2:20	defendants
check 14:8	44:13 48:17	conclusion	12:24 13:17	17:14
check-in 14:3	coming 3:13	40:17	16:17 26:7	Defense 2:14
21:17	15:7 44:24	conclusions 7:8	33:2 36:9,10	defensiveness
Cheryl 54:3,10	commend 5:11	40:12,13	36:11 37:9	6:9
<b>chief</b> 47:8 51:18	comment 31:9	conduct 37:13	court's 33:18	definitely 34:8
52:7	42:13 48:1	confines 35:12	courtesy 48:4	41:9
Chiefs 2:8	49:10	conflict 4:9	<b>courts</b> 36:7	delivering 43:23
choices 29:18	<b>comments</b> 3:11	consequences	51:21	denied 35:1
Circuit 1:14,16	4:4,20 5:18	42:9,10	cover 47:4	denying 33:24
1:17 2:20	13:25 32:1	consider 21:25	create 16:19	41:16
circuits 12:25	41:10 43:8,15	considered 36:2	35:24	departments
circulate 4:25	46:6,10	consistency	created 41:18	39:11,15
circulated 3:9	Commission	13:10,10 16:22	creating 44:21	depends 40:22
3:16,18	23:4 28:21	32:15	credibility 13:5	deserves 36:2
cite 49:5	30:5	consistent 16:16	credible 10:22	detailed 35:3
claims 35:4	<b>commit</b> 24:13	Constitutional	credit 44:7	<b>develop</b> 44:12
clear 41:3	commitment 9:1	34:4	Criminal 2:14	developed 35:20
Clerk 1:17 2:25	18:4,18 19:4	constraints	criteria 41:6	devote 30:24
closer 39:25	committed 27:3	20:23 26:19	critical 28:20	<b>Diane</b> 1:15
coalesce 53:13	committee 4:11	contact 38:10,12	crowd 48:10	dictated 9:7
Coalition 2:10	5:13 6:5 8:16	context 7:7	current 20:12	difference 27:17
2:12 3:16	9:5,6 19:16	continue 17:19	36:6	27:24
13:22 21:4	22:18 25:9,10	28:8	D	differences 8:19
24:12	28:4 33:11	contribution		different 8:15
<b>coin</b> 30:16	35:16 42:14,25	6:17	dangerous 34:17	10:20 11:5,14

				Page 3
11:15 12:15,17	32:7	entities 24:20	13:7	<b>finite</b> 31:20
13:7,8 23:17	<b>DR</b> 42:12	environment	15.7	firearm 23:16
23:17 24:19	draft 5:1,11,13	44:21	$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$	firearms 25:5
26:14,15 27:1	drafted 34:8	equally 26:14	<b>F</b> 54:1	firepower 20:7
31:24 37:24	drafting 3:25	Erin 2:24 24:25	faces 46:25	first 8:4 14:23
50:6 51:6	13:24 14:25	errors 44:19	facilitates 26:2	31:5
differently 48:13	draw 7:8 40:17	escalate 32:24	fact 12:16 31:10	fish 20:24
difficult 13:15	drawing 40:11	eScribers 54:13	32:8 42:25	fit 4:2
43:1	40:13	especially 18:8	factors 40:2	five 26:13
dig 28:24	driving 38:25	essentially 10:18	facts 11:14	fleshing 6:13
digging 30:10	<b>Dropbox</b> 8:10	10:25 11:2,4	fair 17:3,13	floating 6:22
Digital 54:11	due 46:10	establishing	fan 49:22	flow 47:24
direction 15:8	<b>DV</b> 2:24 11:23	18:20	fantastic 5:15	fly 21:12 22:17
43:7	11:24 28:3	evals 12:8	far 3:14 5:3	focus 16:11 20:1
directive 16:2	32:10,21	evaluate 9:3	17:22	28:16 32:20
49:5,6	<b>DWI</b> 39:2,4,10	evaluating 13:4	Fatality 28:4	focused 15:19
<b>Director</b> 1:18,20	39:14	evaluating 15.4	44:6	17:9 47:5
2:3,5,9,11,24		43:12	<b>favor</b> 34:6	folks 3:19 4:15
disagree 33:18	E	events 6:6 13:8	February 1:7	15:2 20:18
disciplines 50:6	<b>E</b> 54:1	everybody 5:13	feds 30:19	25:13 51:13
discretion 13:4	earlier 3:17 5:19	7:5 10:5 13:21	<b>feed</b> 8:9	53:11
discuss 26:6	early 44:10	13:24 14:24	feedback 3:22	<b>follow</b> 11:10
discussion 44:11	ears 5:8	18:12,13 20:22	14:1 15:2,16	18:22 34:10
47:14 51:11	easy 40:16,16	31:9 50:14	25:1	follow- 21:21
discussions 17:8	echo 13:24	52:10	feel 5:10,24 6:7	follow-up 20:2
17:19 35:7	edits 3:11,20	everybody's 5:1	26:9 37:13	20:12
41:24	5:20 32:17	49:25	41:25 48:16	following 24:1
disease 14:6	43:15	everyone's 7:1	52:25	force 1:6 3:2
dissatisfaction	<b>effect</b> 42:21	exactly 19:25	feel-good 10:10	4:12,13 12:20
32:2	either 13:12	36:23 49:18	<b>felt</b> 6:12,14,16	15:8,14,20
dive 16:11	24:25 33:16	example 4:6	<b>field</b> 17:13	18:20,25 20:8
diversity 9:5	<b>elected</b> 8:14 33:8	21:2 38:24	<b>fifty</b> 7:19	20:9,14,22
30:3 31:12	else's 13:25	41:13 50:17	<b>figure</b> 4:1 23:7	21:25 26:22
divided 12:25	<b>email</b> 26:10	excuses 31:16	25:23 32:14	37:4 43:21
document 3:8	46:23	executive 1:20	39:21 53:20	47:15 48:15,16
Dodge 2:19	enable 51:5	2:9 28:18	<b>files</b> 30:10	48:25 49:11
doing 3:22 6:2	encourage 25:17	exist 20:9 28:5	filter 48:22	forces 51:3
7:16 14:3	encouraged 48:9	28:14	<b>final</b> 5:1 41:16	foregoing 54:4
16:11 23:25	end-all 38:16	expectation 45:2	46:13 51:22	formation 44:8
25:9 53:15	Endres 1:23	expensive 29:25	finalize 46:7	forms 23:22
<b>DOJ</b> 2:4	37:23	experience 10:3	finalized 51:20	<b>forth</b> 15:18
domestic 1:6	<b>ends</b> 5:8	48:15 49:4	finally 51:19	forward 9:2
2:22 23:4	enforcement	experiences	<b>find</b> 5:5	21:2 22:6 24:5
25:19 30:3	16:5 21:19	15:14	finding 22:8	24:17 25:16
31:20 33:23,24	23:24	expertise 15:10	findings 19:9	27:6,8 28:1
38:23	engaged 10:19	experts 42:8	<b>finds</b> 39:1,3,9,13	45:19
dormant 28:15	enthusiasm	<b>extent</b> 9:4,5 17:4	fine 49:21 52:18	<b>found</b> 10:21
<b>DOVE</b> 2:15,19	47:17	28:17	<b>finger-</b> 44:15	fowl 20:25
dovetail 9:19	entirely 27:1	eyewitnesses	fingertips 30:17	frankly 17:20
		-		
L	•			

19:16	17:23 18:16,24	guided 17:5	heavy 15:1	35:8
free 26:9 31:15	20:14,17 22:5	guilty 39:2,4,10	Held 1:8	incident 11:1
52:25	24:3,7,17,19	39:14	help 6:1 51:6	inclination
Freeman 2:20	25:6,16 27:10	guts 47:6	helpful 6:1 9:9	42:22 43:17
30:21	27:13,16,23,24	guys 3:2	16:23 21:21	include 28:18
Friday 3:9	29:16,18 33:1	guys 3.2	28:16 44:5	included 41:9
fronts 24:14	36:20 38:5,8	H	helping 13:13	increased 31:2
fully 7:10	38:10,11,12	Hampshire 1:13	24:10	incredible 27:20
function 29:3	40:5,21 46:9	2:10,12,13	helps 51:7	incredibly 29:9
30:23	46:15 52:2	33:9	highlight 49:16	independent
fundamental	good 6:13,19 8:5	Hampton 2:5,7	Hobbs 2:7 51:18	30:8,12
34:3	8:18,21 18:3	42:12	52:7	indicated 17:1
fundamentally	18:24 22:11	handled 40:21	hoc 19:15 22:18	indiscernible
33:3	29:23 30:2	Hantz 1:12 3:1	Honor 46:3	41:21 48:3
<b>funded</b> 31:12	31:19 36:5	6:19 7:17,22	47:20	individual 4:24
funded 31.12 funding 21:3,5	39:12 40:8,24	8:6 12:18 14:2	hope 3:2 27:25	32:23 33:15
further 18:18	42:11 52:15	14:4,9,12,18	hopeful 17:20	48:19,24
19:3 45:19	gotten 3:10	15:3 16:24	43:3	48.19,24 information 4:7
future 27:7	governor 19:23	19:2,10 21:11	43:3 hopefully 20:17	4:9 6:22 9:14
1414162/./	governor's 20:3	22:1 24:18	hopes 15:7	11:4 16:12,13
G	23:3 28:21	25:22 28:2	hoping 15:12	17:10 26:4
gathering 22:14		30:1 31:6 32:4	47:16	
geared 35:15	Grady 2:11 Grafton 1:25	32:6 34:19	Hornick 1:25	29:23,25 30:24 44:14
general 46:22		40:1 41:22	hosts 25:1	information
49:4,17 52:4	grant 21:4 grant- 31:11	45:20 46:8,16	HOSUS 23.1	29:7
generate 51:25	_	46:20 47:21	I	inhibition 6:10
genesis 6:4	granted 35:1 granting 33:23	48:2,6 49:18	idea 5:22 7:24	initial 22:14
get-go 8:8 19:13	granting 33.23 graphics 47:4	50:25 51:4	47:18 52:6	
getting 17:18	graphics 47.4 great 5:22 7:19	52:5,8	ideas 5:19 9:15	initially 18:12 initiative 30:4
18:10 22:7	7:19 9:16,25	happen 28:23	23:20	31:11
28:15 31:17	18:2,8 23:15	45:9	identified 26:24	initiatives 27:2
32:22 33:14	23:20 26:14	happened 6:12	32:11	31:8
34:9 52:1,3		18:13 36:8,13	identify 45:21	
give 19:18 36:3	29:12 52:6,17 greater 32:10	45:11 46:2	identifying	input 25:13 32:2 35:20
giving 34:13	greater 32:10 ground 4:21	happening	28:13	inquiries 47:23
glad 10:3 14:2	13:8	41:17	impacted 40:7	inquiries 47:23
15:4		happy 26:3 36:8	impacting 26:8	institutionalized
<b>go</b> 12:3 14:8,21	<b>group</b> 2:17 3:5 3:18 4:13 9:2	hard 5:12 13:23	implement	23:11
15:9 20:14	10:4 21:15	14:24	18:17,23 24:10	interaction
37:21 43:22	23:12 25:2,4	hardware 30:18	implication 37:3	23:15
49:12 50:20	25:14 27:13	HAVEN 1:20	important 32:12	interest 6:8
53:6	41:20 46:4,14	head 13:17	41:23	interest 6:8 interested 4:24
goal 15:22		heads 35:21	impressive	
goals 49:5,6	47:25 50:2,16 50:21 51:10	hear 13:9,19	27:22	8:1 25:13,18 <b>interesting</b>
God 18:13	53:10	14:11 16:15	improve 12:21	
goes 17:2 21:3,4		34:8 48:12	16:8,9 40:19	21:10,21 50:22 interim 46:2
40:18 44:5	groups 3:23 24:23	53:5,7	improvement	
going 10:15,17	guess 28:5 31:25	hearing 18:7	26:25	intermediary 37:9
14:16 16:18	32:18 35:25	36:15,19 51:14	improving 15:23	intermediate
11.10 10.10	34.10 33.43	30.13,17 31.14	improving 13.23	miermeulate
	l	l	l	<u> </u>

Pac	re	5
Lac		$\cup$

				Page 5
26.11.41.4	20.11.20.1.2.0	10-24-22-1-6	L.C. 12.1	L 2.0 5.10 25
36:11 41:4	38:11 39:1,3,8	19:24 22:1,6	life 13:1	Lyn 2:9 5:18,25
internal 40:14	39:13 44:8	22:14 23:14	lift 15:1	6:12 9:20
interventions	judges 11:3,9	24:13 26:11	lights 11:15	13:21 14:11,14
42:19	12:15 17:10	30:2 34:23	limit 52:25	37:23 43:8
interview 17:5	23:25 32:24	39:5,6 48:18	limitations 30:6	Lyn's 34:8
23:23	33:9,23 40:7	48:22 50:23	32:9 51:9	Lynda 2:3
intoxicated	41:14,25 43:13	51:8,9 52:22	limited 29:9	<u>M</u>
38:25	judgment 44:19	knowing 12:12	30:18	major 17:23
INV 9:18 23:13	judicial 9:7 13:4	38:19 39:17	limits 9:17	making 4:5 8:3
49:22 51:2	32:18,19 33:5	knows 22:12	line 12:1	11:20 31:16
invested 51:14	33:13 37:13	Kristyn 1:21	list 45:7	44:18 45:8
51:15	39:22	15:22 28:3	listen 34:22	50:15
investigations	jumping-off	52:14	38:13	
39:12	39:24	Kristyn's 31:8	litigants 32:23	Manager 2:22
Investigator	Justice 1:13 3:1	Krueger 2:2	33:15 34:14	mandatory 13:2
1:21	5:9 6:19 7:17		little 14:5 20:24	<b>March</b> 26:16 54:17
involved 10:2	7:22 8:6 9:18	lab 7:21	33:10 51:3	
24:4,9 27:5	12:18 14:2,4,9	lack 23:8 38:1	live 4:17 27:13	<b>Marconi</b> 1:12 3:1 5:9 6:19
51:6	14:12,18 15:3		29:18	
involves 32:10	16:24 19:2,10	<b>LaFrance</b> 2:16	long 17:2 29:5	7:17,22 8:6
involving 11:1	21:11 22:1	18:1 19:3	29:19 43:6	9:18 12:18
issue 8:2 12:14	23:13 24:18	48:24	look 12:15 18:25	14:2,4,9,12,18
23:16,23 25:5	25:22 28:2	language 3:20	22:13 24:17	15:4 16:24
31:18,24	30:1,5 31:6	large 37:5	25:4 27:6	19:2,10 21:11
issue- 19:16	32:4,6 34:19	late 44:9	34:23 35:17	22:1 24:18
issue-by-issue	40:1 41:22	law 2:17,25	37:2 38:20	25:22 28:2
25:8	45:20 46:8,16	11:10 13:5	39:7,18,25	30:1 31:6 32:5
issued 17:18	46:20 47:21	23:24 33:2	41:6,7 42:18	32:6 34:19
issues 12:24 15:6	48:2,6 49:18	lawyer 16:21	43:1 47:1	40:1 41:22
28:25	50:25 51:4,18	lead 11:15	looked 9:20	45:20 46:8,16
it'll 52:18	52:5,8	leaders 16:6	41:13	46:20 47:21
iterative 45:16	K	leadership 5:14	looking 7:16,24	48:2,6 49:18
		learned 4:6	11:14 12:21	50:25 51:4,18
J. J	Kathy 1:20 14:5	24:20 41:24	16:21 17:24	52:5,8 Martha 1:25
Jasina 2:24	keep 10:15,17	42:3	25:12 28:7	Martha 1:25
25:17,24 Lean 2:22	15:19	learning 7:21	30:4,5,25	Mary 1:17 2:2
Jean 2:22	keeping 38:15	22:25	43:13	matters 32:21
<b>job</b> 6:13 19:1	kept 5:21	left 8:23	looks 24:6 28:6	mean 3:15 8:7
22:20 27:20	Kilham 2:22	legal 2:19 10:20	looming 26:17	8:17 12:19,23
39:12	kill 48:20	11:16 54:11	loose 5:7	13:17 17:14
job's 22:15	kind 6:11,13	legislation 10:10	losers 38:2,4	18:3,5,11
jobs 19:19	9:19 10:11	24:12 26:6	<b>lot</b> 4:6 5:23 6:15	19:20 31:19
John 1:16	16:5 19:15	legislators 19:6	6:22 9:21,24	35:4 37:12
Jon 2:13 36:4	25:6 41:4	legislature 18:9	9:25 13:18	45:22 49:13,19
41:2	43:18 47:4	18:11 20:4	15:17 16:1	51:9
judge 1:14,15,16	knew 29:17,21	22:8 28:19	18:2 20:7 23:1	measuring 53:15
8:14 10:18	35:21	letter 11:10	23:19 42:3	mechanism 16:5
16:25 23:15	know 6:4,20,21	liable 44:18	43:4 45:19	21:20 34:10
33:21 34:15	8:1,17 12:23	liberated 6:14	love 37:20 53:5	36:12,17 44:12

				Page 6
media 49:15	necessary 37:5	offshoot 19:14	paid 47:3	10:21
52:19	need 8:16 9:16	oh 14:7 18:13	Paine 2:18 28:17	persist 20:17
meet 26:1	17:10,12 18:16	37:21	46:3 47:20,22	person 48:19
meet 20.1 meeting 1:6 5:4	needed 50:8	okay 14:9,16,20	48:4	personally 27:3
46:5		18:8 43:10		= -
	needs 19:6,8		painfully 30:7 Pam 2:19	perspective 4:8
meetings 3:4,4	26:25 40:14	45:10 51:1		37:11,24 42:16
50:7	42:7 53:6	52:21 53:21	paperwork	perspectives
member 45:8	negatively 26:8	old 13:6	11:13 17:4	4:16 26:15
members 15:15	neither 20:24	once 46:22	part 25:11,18	32:2 53:14
20:15 25:25,25	new 1:13 2:9,12	ones 16:10	26:5	petition 17:2
47:14 48:25	2:13 9:15 27:1	ongoing 28:10	participant 4:8	petitions 11:24
mentioned 14:3	33:9 54:15	oomph 19:9	4:10	33:24,25 35:1
15:4	news 50:20	open 7:2,4 10:1	participants	<b>ph</b> 5:10
merging 23:24	<b>NH</b> 1:20 2:4,7	operate 7:12	11:12	physical 10:23
Merrill 1:18	2:18	17:15	participating	piece 10:15 29:2
Merrimack 1:24	<b>NHBA</b> 2:19	opinions 50:13	3:24	29:23
message 36:23	NHJB 2:22	opportunity	particular 4:13	pieces 28:13
37:7	NHLA 2:2,24	24:3,8 25:16	5:17 32:25	placates 10:12
mind 19:11	21:5 26:2 27:5	42:22 43:17	33:19,22 44:1	<b>place</b> 13:15 23:5
48:13	NHSC 2:25	52:19	47:24 50:21	playing 17:13
minutes 53:12	nice 13:18	opposed 35:19	particularly	plead 40:5
mislead 40:24	Nicolosi 1:15	order 18:20,21	44:5	please 45:18
models 41:7	17:1	41:16	parties 4:24	<b>point</b> 3:14 5:16
43:13	ninety-nine 39:1	orders 11:24	45:22	9:4 21:10
money 18:7,11	note 6:2	31:22	party 37:11	22:16 31:25
23:21 29:2,4	noted 29:5	organizations	path 21:2	33:14 34:5
29:12,20 30:11	number 23:14	20:8,13	pathway 19:18	35:18 36:1,5
months 21:16,17	23:17 24:14	original 49:6	Patricia 2:16	39:24 40:14
22:19 45:13,13	28:25	originally 49:10	pattern 12:16	41:12 42:5
mothership 24:6	numbers 35:6	other's 46:24	people 4:4,17	44:2 46:12
mousetrap	NY 54:15	outcome 13:16	9:24 10:1	pointed 15:22
35:18	111 54.15	17:3	12:22 13:6,13	pointing 44:16
	0	outcomes 15:23	18:4 19:18	points 40:25
moving 9:2 24:4 24:17	O 54:1		23:17 24:4	-
	o'clock 52:24	16:14,17	28:24 38:3	police 2:8 39:10
multidisciplin	objective 41:6	outgrowths 44:23		39:15
16:3 41:18	obviously 7:4		39:2,4,9,13	policies 21:6
multifaceted	8:7 14:25	outside 20:9	44:13,16,18	policy-setting
31:18	37:12 45:22	outsider 23:1	45:10,17 48:9	20:20
mute 14:14	48:1	over-charge	48:11 49:14	political 29:1,3
<b>muted</b> 14:15	occurred 47:12	39:11	50:5,11,21	34:24
N		overhaul 33:13	51:15 52:11,17	posed 10:21
	Odom 54:3,10	34:3 37:5	53:16	position 19:25
N 54:1	Odyssey 29:5	oversight 11:23	percent 39:2,4	positions 8:14
name 24:24	30:6,25	12:8	percentage 39:9	positively 26:7
50:15	office 1:22 2:3	P	perfectly 49:21	possible 16:2
names 49:19	20:3		performance 9:7	post 28:9
necessarily	officer 12:5	page 10:17 17:6	41:6	potential 34:1
23:21 38:17	officers 33:5	24:1	period 45:13	34:17
20 5 22 50 10	CC • 11 40 00			
39:5,23 50:19	officially 43:22	pages 49:15	permissibly	practices 8:11

				Page /
predict 13:16	properly 11:11	<b>R.L</b> 10:21	41:5 45:25	51:17
prefer 49:12	proposal 22:12	raise 15:6 21:11	reconstituted	response 19:22
present 1:11	proposed 32:17	raised 40:15	35:10	responsible 45:8
10:22 47:7	37:1	raising 36:4	reconvene 45:9	responsive 45:21
50:2	pros 42:7	rampant 47:17	reconvenes 44:1	rest 3:3
presentation	prosecutors 40:3	raw 40:23	record 40:6 54:5	restriction 48:14
47:10	protocol 41:14	reach 25:20	reducing 43:16	restrictions
presented 19:21	41:17	reactions 42:24	referencing	35:13
presenting 53:4	protocols 41:23	read 5:20 6:15	51:22	result 13:12
press 38:12	proud 50:17	9:19 14:1 15:2	reflect 15:13	results 13:12
47:24 51:20,24	provide 5:23	reading 43:9	43:2	32:25 46:5
52:7,9	19:17 21:2	ready 30:16 47:7	reflection 43:19	review 4:3 5:1
presumed 48:8	22:21	real 12:1,2 22:4	regard 11:11,23	9:7 15:21 28:4
pretty 17:20	provides 25:1	27:24	24:12 45:4,11	30:9 32:19
33:12 34:2	providing 15:16	reality 42:5	regression 35:3	33:7,13 34:16
previously 4:19	17:9,11,12	really 5:11 6:7	relationship	35:9,12,16
<b>prior</b> 28:20 31:7	provisions 35:9	6:13,16 9:8,9	49:8	36:2 44:6
probably 26:13	public 2:11 6:23	10:7 11:17	release 51:20,24	reviewed 18:19
problem 12:2,7	7:5 11:19 18:6	15:4,24 16:10	52:7,9	reviews 3:15
12:14 22:18	20:1 27:11	16:18 17:1	remedy 37:12	revisions 37:2
38:21 39:6,21	47:10 49:17,23	18:24 19:7	replacement	right 7:22 8:6
40:4	50:10,23 51:14	21:20 26:21,23	23:6	21:13 24:18
problems 8:2	52:1 53:5	27:16,21 28:16	report 6:1 7:8	31:6 33:5 40:1
35:24,25	publicly 38:18	28:24 29:23	10:16,25 15:12	41:22 50:25
proceeding 7:3,4	<b>pull</b> 10:16 29:11	33:3 36:1,5,18	17:24 18:2	52:23
proceedings	30:9 31:22	39:20 43:18	19:20 20:1,10	roadmap 22:21
54:5	pulled 23:7	44:20 50:23	26:12 27:9,21	roles 20:20
<b>process</b> 4:7 5:6	<b>push</b> 18:15	53:13	28:10 34:6	rolling 5:3
15:5 16:22	20:21 28:1	realm 7:12 8:15	36:16 45:17	room 42:7
22:25 33:4,8	45:18	reasonable 47:2	46:7 50:20	routing 48:17,20
33:13,17 34:4	pushing 27:7	reasons 44:4	51:19,22 53:7	Ruel 2:3
34:13,16 35:12	<b>put</b> 6:25 8:10	received 4:23	53:19	S
37:8 38:5,14	10:10 19:8	22:11	reporting 29:8	-
40:13 41:4	26:12 27:19	recirculate	45:3	safety 16:9
45:16 46:4	38:24 45:1,14	46:12,18	reports 26:14	17:11
48:7 53:17	50:12	recognize 16:4	28:8 45:2	Sarah 2:20
processes 21:6	putting 17:17	recognizing 16:3	represents 48:10	satisfaction 32:3
product 6:18	27:20 49:14	recommendati	requests 48:17	say- 46:13
productive	0	20:11 25:12	requirements	<b>saying</b> 13:20 16:15 37:18
44:22		40:19 45:15	13:3	
<b>Program</b> 1:19	quarterly 26:1 question 28:3,5	recommendati	requires 29:23	says 10:18 36:16 50:4 52:14
2:15,19,23,24	29:1 42:20	16:1 17:21	41:14	scene 12:3
programmers	47:9	18:3,22 19:23	requiring 13:14	Schollett 2:9
29:9	questions 5:5	21:1,18 23:19	resolutions 49:2	13:21 14:7,16
progress 45:3	52:13	24:21 34:7	resolved 37:16	14:20,22 21:9
prohibition 42:1	quite 25:25	35:14 43:5,24	resources 31:14	37:20 41:1
project 27:1	<b>44110</b> 23.23	43:25 44:25	respect 32:8	Schollett's 5:18
promise 16:16 promised 10:7	R	45:5,6,12	34:7 48:5	Scott 2:5
promiseu 10./	<b>R</b> 54:1	recommending	respond 41:2	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				Page 8
120.10		1. 22.17	1	50.12
second 39:19	signed 8:25 9:21	speaking 22:17	strengths 15:10	50:13
Secondly 43:4	significant 6:17	48:15	strong 39:16	tackle 12:14
section 32:20	29:25 33:12	specific 6:16	stronger 19:5	take 18:23,24
security 17:12	34:2 43:16	11:23	strongly 15:25	34:14 35:16
see 12:3,16	simple 34:25	specifying 40:20	41:5	38:22 46:1,5
18:18 21:8	singular 45:16	spend 42:8	struck 20:5	takeaway 23:9
22:16 23:6	sit 48:12	spent 29:20	struggled 19:13	taken 8:21 53:12
25:15 27:22	sitting 4:15	sphere 6:23	34:20	takes 12:24
28:2 31:8	situation 11:9	spoken 37:21	stuck 11:17	30:11 43:6
35:17 38:20	11:25 34:24	<b>spot</b> 19:17	stuff 8:9 24:2,9	talk 26:3 38:13
46:24 47:17	43:2	squared-away	submissions	49:1
52:3,21 53:21	situations 13:19	39:14	3:10 4:23	talking 32:13
seeing 13:7,7	six 21:16 22:19	staff 30:23 31:2	substance 5:24	35:20
27:4 39:10	45:13	stage 17:3	successful 44:21	task 1:6 3:2 4:12
seen 17:21	slightly 37:24	stakeholder	suggested 4:1	4:13 12:20
sees 12:5	small 39:9	25:14	42:4	15:8,14,20
self-examine	smiling 46:25	stakeholders	suggesting 5:25	18:20,25 20:8
6:11	<b>Smith</b> 35:2	20:15	10:12 36:25	20:9,14,22
send 26:9 53:18	social 49:15	stand 21:7	37:4	21:25 26:22
sending 37:6	software 31:2	standards 12:8	suggestion 7:15	37:3 43:21
sense 41:19	<b>sole</b> 37:12	standing 20:12	8:5	47:14 48:14,16
47:13 51:17	solution 39:23	25:10	suggestions 4:2	48:25 49:11
sentencing 13:2	solutions 32:14	start 26:25	4:20 19:17	51:3
separate 12:6	solve 12:13	32:22 33:20	<b>Suite</b> 54:14	tell 19:25 29:14
serious 19:7	35:25	40:11 52:1	Superior 1:15	35:5
serve 52:14	solving 8:2,2	started 8:9	Supervisory	tend 38:3
served 12:22	somebody 31:21	state 8:18 16:7	2:25	term 34:25 38:2
set 3:12 5:4	48:21 49:13	statement 19:5	support 33:11	terms 11:18
31:21,23 41:8	somewhat 34:17	states 7:16,20	Supreme 1:13	16:16 23:24
51:8	Sorry 14:22	8:12,13 41:8	12:24 36:10	38:22 46:4
sets 50:17	37:23	43:14	sure 8:10 11:20	47:2
setting 17:2 21:5	sort 4:9 5:2 6:8	statewide 25:2	24:14,16 25:11	terrific 17:1
<b>settings</b> 14:8,23	6:10,14 16:4	25:19 41:14	<b>survey</b> 35:19	thank 3:7 13:22
Seventh 54:14	17:14 21:13	status 21:18	surveying 31:12	14:23 16:23
Sexton 2:11	24:22 28:22	statutorily 9:6	survivors 26:9	36:21 37:17
share 48:9 51:25	32:9,17,23	stay 24:8	Susan 1:14	53:21
52:10 53:2,3	35:15 38:15	staying 15:19	46:25 47:25	thanks 14:20
shared 51:22	40:6,23 42:13	step 39:19 43:16	48:18	15:1,17
sharing 44:13	42:17 43:21	51:8	system 12:21	theirs 3:17
She'll 48:20	44:2 46:13	steps 18:23	16:9,19 29:6,6	themes 21:22
short-term 9:1	48:7,8	<b>Steve</b> 37:22	29:7 34:18	therefrom 40:12
shove 18:15	sound 53:9	Steven 1:23	35:19 36:7,18	40:13
show 47:19	sounding 3:23	stick 50:14	37:7 38:1	thing 10:5 12:7
52:15,19	53:11	sticks 11:7	systemic 15:21	12:10 13:14
showed 51:13	source 31:10	stock 46:1	systems 8:20	17:9 20:5
shows 50:10	space 4:18 22:22	stop 30:8 38:8		24:25 25:23
shut 44:17	48:11	Strasburger	T	27:12 28:8,11
side 17:4 30:15	spawned 25:4	2:13 32:4,7	T 54:1,1	31:19 43:20
42:2	speak 9:16 21:12	36:20	table 15:10	44:16 47:1,5
12.2	Speak 7.10 21.12	50.20		11.10 17.1,0
		l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 9
50:18	43:6,15 50:9	42:15 53:13	victim 1:18	44:8
things 6:3 8:3,4	51:5	TTA-Certified	41:15	ways 12:20,21
8:7,12,22 9:3	thoughts 5:19	54:11	Victim/Witness	31:24 42:19
9:21,23 10:6	32:1 53:4,6	tweak 28:7	2:4	we'll 17:19
10:14 11:11,13	threat 10:22	tweak 28.7	victims 16:9,19	53:20
12:6,9 15:11	three 28:22	tweaks 3.20 twelve 21:17	17:11 26:8	we're 6:2,21 8:1
16:7 17:5	45:12	twenty 39:3	view 42:15	15:11,25 16:3
19:11 21:24	Thursday 46:13	twenty 39.3	viewpoints	16:18 17:6,8
23:18,22,22	46:19,21,21	11:3,14 12:15	20:19	17:23,24 18:24
24:5,10 26:24	tie 5:7	19:11 52:9	<b>violation</b> 37:14	19:14,15 23:25
27:4,8 29:11	time 3:10,14	type 11:8,21,22	violence 1:6 2:6	24:1,11 30:25
31:4 42:15	16:18 26:1,19	50:18	2:23 10:24	46:9 47:16
44:10 45:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		23:4 25:19	
49:23 50:15	29:15,19 30:11 30:23 31:3	types 51:3	30:3 31:20	48:25 53:13,14 we've 3:14,19,22
think 5:14 6:25	35:18 42:8	U	33:23,24 38:23	4:19,23 5:2
	52:25 53:22	U.S 12:23	VIRTUAL 1:6	9:24 15:16
7:6,11,15,18 7:24 8:8 9:8,15	times 30:18	ugly 40:9	voice 16:2	9.24 13.16 17:7 18:14,14
10:4 12:10		understand	voices 15:13	24:23 26:24
13:14 15:7,11	today 3:17 5:19 15:2 34:24	13:13 21:19	volces 13.13 volunteers 3:6	32:13 36:3
,	48:12	33:17	volunteers 5.0	41:24 42:3
15:18,24 16:10		understanding		53:10
16:18,25,25	today's 46:5 told 8:8	10:19 36:6	walk 12:11	
17:6,8,23 18:25 19:11	tomorrow 3:12	unfortunate 6:5	walks 13:1	weapon 23:23 weave 44:2
20:13 21:20		Unfortunately	want 5:11,16 6:7	Webex 1:8 3:4
20.13 21.20 22:4,20,24	17:23 46:11,12 <b>ton</b> 50:8,9	12:19	7:1,2 10:8	Welcome 3:1
23:1,10 24:19	tool 9:11 20:13	UNH 31:9 35:2	12:11 13:24	went 43:15 50:8
25:3,6 26:17	tools 9:8	unhappy 33:16	24:4 29:10,16	50:9
26:21 27:12,14	toss 42:12	unify 50:12	35:24 44:15,16	wiggle 42:7
27:22,25 28:11	training 18:7	unintended	44:17 46:24	willingness 43:1
28:16,18 32:12	Transcriber	42:10	47:9 48:22	50:11
32:25 34:16,21	54:11	unique 30:2	52:11,16,19	win-loss 40:6
36:4,19 38:17	transcript 54:4	updating 41:23	53:2,7	winners 38:2
38:19,22 40:3	transcript 34.4 transparency	upgrade 31:1	wanted 9:23	wish 5:21 30:19
40:21,24 42:6	11:18 21:23	upset 38:4,9	14:23 15:3,6	Witness 1:19
42:10,13 43:3	32:13 34:9	<b>usable</b> 16:19	29:15 32:5	WMUR 49:8
43:4 44:4,20	35:9 43:12	usually 21:12	34:5 42:12	wonder 21:9
44:20 45:18	transparent 7:2		wanting 11:18	43:24
48:23,24 49:11	10:4	V	wants 10:5	wonderful 10:2
49:20,23,25	trial 36:7,9	value 28:12	11:19 26:4	wondering wondering
50:1,4,10,16	tried 29:14	various 3:6,6	47:19 49:20	43:20
51:11,16 52:2	trope 13:6	4:16 20:23	Warner 47:1	word 52:1,3
52:5 53:1	true 54:4	28:13 46:6	48:18	wording 3:21
thinking 5:21	try 4:21 5:7 14:9	53:14	wasn't 24:16	work 4:17,20
7:7 21:13 42:9	14:16 38:10	varying 15:13	watch 26:7	5:12 6:18 8:14
43:10	42:20 46:9	15:14	watching 7:6	8:18,20,23
thirdly 43:8	trying 4:1,15	versus 42:2	way 5:2 7:6 17:2	9:25 12:1
thirty 35:21	25:23 32:14	<b>vetted</b> 7:10 8:16	21:7,15 25:7	23:16 26:12,23
thought 5:21 8:4	35:25 36:23	Vicinanzo 2:15	29:20 35:11	27:14,19 28:12
17:16 29:16	39:21 41:3	5:9,10 7:14,18	36:5,18 43:7	28:14,20 42:4
1,.10 2,.10	27.21 11.3	7:23 14:11,21		
L	I	<u>I</u>	I	I

Page 10

42:18,20 44:22 48:9 49:2,16 49:24 50:7,8 50:12 52:16,21 worked 13:23 14:24 working 3:5,23 3:25 24:11 27:6 46:4 53:10 world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X12:3  Y Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18 Zoom 3:4				Page 10
48:94 9:2.16 49:24 50:7.8 50:12 52:16,21 workarounds 30:12 worked 13:23 14:24 working 3:5,23 3:25 24:11 27:6 46:4 55:310 world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25 X X 12:3 Y Y 12:3 Y 21:3 Y 21:4 Zinkin 2:25 Y 21:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
49:24 50:7,8 50:12 52:16,21 workarounds 30:12 worked 13:23 14:24 working 3:5,23 3:25 24:11 27:6 46:4 53:10 world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y Y 12:3  Y 12:3  Y 22 1:7 54:17 2nd 47:11  2nd 47:11  3 3 352 54:14  4 55 55:224  6 603 2:19 604 54:14  7 7 34:7  7 34:7  7 34:7  9 90s 44:9  90s 44:9				
49:24 50:7,8 50:12 52:16,21 workarounds 30:12 worked 13:23 14:24 working 3:5,23 3:25 24:11 27:6 46:4 53:10 world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y Y 12:3  Y 12:3  Y 22 1:7 54:17 2nd 47:11  2nd 47:11  3 3 352 54:14  4 55 55:224  6 603 2:19 604 54:14  7 7 34:7  7 34:7  7 34:7  9 90s 44:9  90s 44:9	48:9 49:2,16	<b>2000</b> 44:10		
50:12 52:16,21 workarounds 30:12 worked 13:23 14:24 working 3:5,23 3:25 24:11 27:6 46:4 53:10 world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X X 12:3  Y Y 12:3 Y-yall 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 4 6:15,18		<b>2022</b> 1:7 54:17		
workarounds     30:12       worked 13:23     14:24       14:24     4       working 3:5,23     3:25 24:14       3:25 24:11     5       27:6 46:4     5       53:10     5       world 17:15     38:24,25       worth 38:19     6       worth 20:13     6       write 26:13     7       writing 53:19     7       wrong 24:25     8       45:25     8       X     9       X 12:3     9       y'all 46:24     yazinski 1:16       23:15     year 22:19 45:13       year 35:10,21     york 54:15       Z     Z       Z12:4     Zinkin 2:25       14:14 36:4     46:15,18		<b>22</b> 1:7 54:17		
30:12 worked 13:23 14:24 working 3:5,23 3:25 24:11 27:6 46:4 53:10 world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 35:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y 12:3  Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
worked 13:23 14:24 working 3:5,23 3:25 24:11 27:6 46:4 53:10 world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
14:24 working 3:5,23 3:25 24:11 27:6 46:4 55:10 world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18		3		
working 3:5,23 3:25 24:11 27:6 46:4 53:10 world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 rotation 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y 12:3  Y 12:3  Y 2 21:4		<b>352</b> 54·14		
3:25 24:11 27:6 46:4 53:10 world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3 Y 12:3 Y 23 146:24 Y 4zinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
27:6 46:4 53:10 world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y 112:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18		4		
53:10 world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
world 17:15 38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y 11:3  Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18		5		
38:24,25 worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18		<b>5</b> 52:24		
worth 38:19 39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
39:17 51:11 wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y 12:3  y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18		6		
wouldn't 22:8 write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18		<b>603</b> 2:19		
write 26:13 writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  9 90s 44:9  90s 44:9  90s 44:9  Z Z12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18		<b>604</b> 54:14		
writing 53:19 wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y 12:3  Y 12:3  y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18	write 26:13	7		
wrong 24:25 45:25  X X 12:3  Y 12:3  Y 12:3  y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18	writing 53:19	<b>7</b> 34:7		
Name				
Name		8		
X 12:3  Y Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18	X			
Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18	X 12:3	<b>90s</b> 44:9		
Y 12:3 y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
y'all 46:24 Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18	Y 12:3			
Yazinski 1:16 23:15 Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18	y'all 46:24			
Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
Yeah 7:17 46:20 year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18	23:15			
year 22:19 45:13 years 35:10,21 York 54:15  Z Z12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
years 35:10,21 York 54:15 Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
York 54:15  Z Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
Z 12:4 Zinkin 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$			
<b>Zinkin</b> 2:25 14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
14:14 36:4 46:15,18				
46:15,18				
ZOUII J.T				
1	<b>Z</b> 00III 3.4			
0	0			
1	1			
142:5	142.5			
10001 54:15				
1st 26:16 47:9				
181 20.10 47.7	180 20.10 47.9			
	2			
<del></del>				
		l		